

O'Keefe et al v, C&C Hospitality et al
2483CV692

June 8, 2026

Motion for Protective Order from Non-Party Michael Proctor
(Endorsement following 4:15 p.m. Hearing)

I find that the supplemental submission does not provide sufficient support to grant the extraordinary request before the court which is to continue a long-noticed deposition one business day from the scheduled deposition. The timing of the request remains a concern. In addition, I am not convinced that the signatory of the letter submitted is qualified to give the opinions contained therein. Even if there had been a curriculum vitae submitted, I am not convinced that it would show qualifications to give such opinions. The opinions are general in nature, and do not provide any detail relative to the information referenced in the first paragraph. The opinions turn the request into, in effect, a request to continue a deposition indefinitely, which is contrary to what Mr. Proctor's counsel stated this morning. Of further concern is that the opinions appear to be by committee, without all the committee members signing on to the opinion. Finally, the dates in the letter show that the issue before the Court could have been handled much earlier.

The motion for a protective order is denied. The witness shall appear for deposition at the place and time set forth in the subpoena on dates agreed to by counsel.



Mark C. Gildea, J.